WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

FRESHWATER MONITORING UNIT

STREAM DISCHARGE TECHNICAL NOTES

STATION ID: 05B090

STATION NAME: N. F. Stillaguamish River at Oso

WATER YEAR: WY2009

AUTHOR: Don Watt

Introduction

Watershed Description

The North Fork (NF) Stillaguamish River Basin is made up of a narrow lowland valley surrounded by steep forested hillsides and a few snow-capped mountain peaks. Elevation ranges from just over 200 feet at the gage to more than 6800 feet at points along the southern boundary of the basin. The mean basin elevation is 2230 feet. The average slope in the basin is calculated as 41 percent. Forest canopy is estimated to cover 75 percent of the basin. Mean annual precipitation is about 85 inches.

Gage Location

The gage is located on the right bank of the NF Stillaguamish River at the north end of Whitman Road Bridge. The gage house is at the same elevation as the roadway; and the slant-pipe drops about 25 feet along the side of an old bridge abutment into the river. The terminal end of the pipe extends into a deep portion of the channel a few feet off shore.

Table 1.

Drainage Area (square miles)	161
Latitude (degrees, minutes, seconds)	48, 16, 20, North
Longitude (degrees, minutes, seconds)	120, 53, 16, West

Discharge

Table 2. Discharge Statistics.

Mean Annual Discharge (cfs)	931 cfs
Median Annual Discharge (cfs)	671 cfs
Maximum Daily Mean Discharge (cfs)	14200 cfs
Minimum Daily Mean Discharge (cfs)	148 cfs
Maximum Instantaneous Discharge (cfs)	19800 cfs
Minimum Instantaneous Discharge (cfs)	144 cfs
Discharge Equaled or Exceeded 10 % of Recorded Time (cfs)	1660 cfs
Discharge Equaled or Exceeded 90 % of Recorded Time (cfs)	207 cfs
Number of Days Discharge is Greater Than Range of Ratings	1 day
Number of Days Discharge is Less Than Range of Ratings	None

Note: Statistics displayed in Table 2 may not include values in which the predicted discharge exceeds the range of ratings.

Narrative



Error Analysis

Table 3. Error Analysis Summary.

Logger Drift Error (% of discharge)	6%
Weighted Rating Error (% of discharge)	14%
Total Potential Error (% of discharge)	20%

Rating Table(s)

Table 4. Rating Table Summary

Rating Table No.	2	3	201
Period of Ratings	10/1/08 to 1/10/09	10/5/08 to 9/28/09	9/3/09 to 9/30/09
Range of Ratings (cfs)	116 to 20100 cfs	97 to 20100 cfs	116 to 20100 cfs
No. of Defining Measurements	33	14	33
Rating Error (%)	14%	14%	14%

Rating Table No.		
Period of Ratings		
Range of Ratings (cfs)		
No. of Defining Measurements		
Rating Error (%)		

Rating Table No.		
Period of Ratings		
Range of Ratings (cfs)		
No. of Defining Measurements		
Rating Error (%)		

Narrative

A high flow measurement of more than 10000 cfs in March of 2011 has been used to extend the range of ratings for this water year to more than 20000 cfs.

Stage Record

Table 5. Stage Record Summary

Minimum Recorded Stage (feet)	0.69 ft
Maximum Recorded Stage (feet)	12.39 ft
Range of Recorded Stage (feet)	11.70 ft
Number of Un-Reported Days	1 Day
Number of Days Qualified as Estimates	50 days
Number of Days Qualified as Unreliable Estimates	None

Narrative

Of the 50 days qualified as estimates, 35 were due to a defective pressure transducer in the automated gaging equipment. There were 13 days qualified as estimates due to the use of a numeric filter to smooth an erratic data signal. Two days were qualified as estimates because of the use of processed data from a nearby station to fill a gap in the data record.

Modeled Discharge

Table 6. Model Summary

Model Type (Slope conveyance, other, none)	None
Range of Modeled Stage (feet)	N/A
Range of Modeled Discharge (cfs)	N/A
Valid Period for Model	N/A
Model Confidence	N/A

Surveys

Table 7. Survey Type and Date (station, cross section, longitudinal)

Туре	Date

	<u> </u>
Activities Completed	
-	